ECE 443/518 – Computer Cyber Security Lecture 24 Access Control I

Professor Jia Wang
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Illinois Institute of Technology

November 10, 2025

Outline

Access Control

Security Policy

Confidentiality Policies

Reading Assignment

► This lecture: ICS 2,4,5

► Next lecture: ICS 6,7,14

Outline

Access Control

Access Control

- How people could interact securely if secure collaborations are
 - not available, e.g. before the invention of public-key cryptography?
 - too costly, e.g. for secure multi-party computation?
- Study the relation between
 - Subject: who? active entities like human and processes.
 - Object: what? entities containing information like files.
- ► Access Control: who can access what?
 - A.k.a. Authorization
- Assume certain protocol/mechanism can be enforced.
 - E.g. ignore authentication assume identities of subjects can be established.

System

- A computing system.
 - Or any system that stores and processes information.
- ▶ Modeled as a finite state machine: states and transitions.
 - registers+memory locations+secondary storage
- Protection states: only certain bits of system states matter.
 - Depending on how subjects access objects per each state.

Secure System

- Secure policy: what protection states are secure and what protection states are insecure.
- Secure system: starting from any secure state, one cannot reach any insecure state.
 - Breach of security if an insecure state is reached.
- Security mechanism: prevents transition from secure to insecure states.

Access Control Matrix

- A framework to describe access control.
- ► Rows: subjects
- ► Columns: objects
- ightharpoonup a[s,o]: rights of subject s on object o.

Access Control Matrix Example

	file 1	file 2	process 1	process 2
process 1	read, write, own	read	read, write, execute, own	write
process 2	append	read, own	read	read, write, execute, own

Figure 2–1 An access control matrix. The system has two processes and two files. The set of rights is {read, write, execute, append, own}.

(Bishop)

Protection State Transitions

- Primitive operations on access control matrix.
 - As a basis to reason with transitions.
 - As a basis to implement access control matrix.
- 1. create subject s
- 2. create object o
- 3. enter r into a[s, o]
- 4. delete r from a[s, o]
- 5. destroy subject s
- 6. destroy object o

Protection State Transitions Example

- CreateFile(p, f)
 - p: subject
 - f: object (the file to create)
- 1. create object f
- 2. enter own into a[p, f]
- 3. enter read into a[p, f]
- 4. enter write into a[p, f]
- Can any other subject q access f?
 - ▶ Who is allowed to modify a[q, f]?
 - ▶ What if we would like every one to read but not write *f*?
 - ▶ What about a new subject?

Difficulties

- Subject: Alice and Bob
- ▶ Object: file X
- Secure states: Alice can but Bob cannot access X
- ▶ What if Alice copies X into Y and allows Bob to access Y?
 - Obviously you cannot simply forbid Alice to copy X, e.g. Alice could memorize X and at a later time append it to a file Y that Bob has access.

Outline

Access Control

Security Policy

Confidentiality Policies

Security Properties

- Confidentiality: no member of a set X of entities obtain information or resources I.
 - ► Information flow: someone in X may obtain I indirectly via entities authorized to obtain I.
- ▶ Integrity: all members of a set X of entities trust information or resources I.
 - ▶ Trust comes from authorization on who and how to modify I.
 - Separation of duties: multiple entities should be involved.
- ► Availability: all members of a set X of entities can access information or resources I.
- Security policies involve one or more of such properties.

Policy vs. Mechanism

- Security policy: one cannot copy another's homework.
- ► If A copies B's homework file because B forgot to read protect the homework file, who breaches security?
 - Obviously A breaches security.
 - However, B doesn't since there is no security policy for B to read protect the homework file.
- There is no mentioning of read protection in the security policy.
 - ▶ Read protection is a security mechanism: something that can be enforced for a security policy.
- By enforcing file access control as a security mechanism, A can no longer copy B's homework file.
 - Still, A may find other ways to copy other's homework.

More Example on Policy vs. Mechanism

- ► Security policy: information regarding a particular product is proprietary and is not to leave the control of the company.
- ▶ What about backups containing such information on cloud?
- Security mechanism
 - Depend on how cloud controls access to such information in plaintext.
 - Or the company can make use of cryptography.

Types of Security Policies

- A military security policy (also called a governmental security policy) is a security policy developed primarily to provide confidentiality.
- A commercial security policy is a security policy developed primarily to provide integrity.

The Role of Trust

- To reason with security policies and security mechanisms requires certain assumptions.
- Trust: are these assumptions valid?
- Download and install patch to improve OS security.
 - Patch is authentic.
 - Patch is of good quality.
 - Patch installs correctly.
 - Patch will not interfere with existing configurations.

Types of Access Control

- Discretionary access control (DAC)
 - A.k.a. identity-based access control (IBAC).
 - An individual user can set an access control mechanism to allow or deny access to an object.
 - ▶ E.g. you use a password to control who can visit your website.
- Mandatory access control (MAC)
 - Occasionally called a rule-based access control.
 - A system mechanism controls access to an object and an individual user cannot alter that access.
 - E.g. laws may grant access to certain information without owner's permission.

Outline

Access Control

Security Policy

Confidentiality Policies

Goals of Confidentiality Policies

- A.k.a information flow policy.
 - Unauthorized entities may access information indirectly.
- Prevent the unauthorized disclosure of information.
 - Integrity and availability are not of concern.

The Bell-LaPadula Model

- Military-style classifications for confidentiality.
- Goal: prevent read access to information at a security classification higher than personnel's clearance.
 - ► E.g. to prevent someone to read a secret and then publish it somewhere for anyone to access.
- Combining mandatory access control defined via security classifications, and discretionary access control.

Access Control Details

- Security classification: sensitivity levels of object (information).
 - The higher the levels, the greater the need to keep it confidential.
 - E.g. TOP SECRET (TS) > SECRET (S) > CONFIDENTIAL(C) > UNCLASSIFIED (UC)
 - ▶ Written as L(O) for object O.
- Security clearance: levels of subject (entities).
 - ► Same choice of levels as security classification.
 - \blacktriangleright Written as L(S) for subject S.
- Discretionary access control.
 - ► A subject *S* has discretionary read (or write) access to an object *O*.

Simple Security Condition and Star Property

- ▶ Simple Security Condition: S can read O if and only if $L(O) \le L(S)$ and S has discretionary read access to O.
- *-Property: S can write O if and only if if $L(O) \ge L(S)$ and S has discretionary write access to O.
- ► Read down, write up.
 - No reads up, no writes down.
- Basic Security Theorem: the system remains secure if transitions preserve simple security condition and *-property.
 - Information always flows from lower-level objects to higher-level objects.
 - Assume subjects only communicate via objects.

Bell-LaPadula Example

- Security clearance and classification
 - TS Tamara, Personnel Files
 - S Sally, Electronic Mail Files
 - C Claire, Activity Log Files
 - UC Ulaley, Telephone List Files
- Can Claire and Ulaley read Personnel Files?
- Can Tamara read Telephone List Files?
- Can Tamara read Personnel Files to obtain everyone's password and write them into Activity Log Files?

Extension: Categories

- Object may belong to multiple categories.
 - Contain sensitive information regarding all those categories.
 - ▶ Written as C(O) for object O.
- Subject may access multiple categories.
 - "need to know": no subject should be able to read objects unless reading them is necessary.
 - Written as C(S) for subject S.
- ▶ Simple Security Condition: S can read O if and only if $L(O) \le L(S)$ and $C(O) \subseteq C(S)$ and S has discretionary read access to O.
- ▶ *-Property: S can write O if and only if if $L(O) \ge L(S)$ and $C(S) \subseteq C(O)$ and S has discretionary write access to O.
- Basic Security Theorem holds similarily.

Bell-LaPadula Example with Categories

- Subjects
 - ► George: (SECRET, {NUC, EUR})
 - ► Paul: (SECRET, {EUR, US, NUC})
- Objects
 - ▶ DocA: (CONFIDENTIAL, {NUC})
 - ▶ DocB: (SECRET, {EUR, US})
 - DocC: (SECRET, {EUR})
- What can George read?
- What can Paul read?
- What can Paul write?

The Need to Decrease Security Level

- Paul cannot write anything that can be read by George.
 - ► This is reasonable since Paul knows information *US* which George cannot know.
 - But this is at least not convenient.
- Current security level: a subject may (effectively) decrease its security level from the maximum in order to communicate with entities at lower security levels.
 - ▶ Paul can decrease to (SECRET, {EUR}) to write DocC that George can read.
- Essentially, decreasing security level implies the subject should "forget" any information from higher security levels.
 - ▶ Paul need to "forget" anything in (SECRET, {US, NUC}) to reach (SECRET, {EUR}).
 - The challenge is how to enforce such requirement.

Summary

- ► From a system perspective, security policies mostly concern of access control (a.k.a. authorization) who can do what at when.
 - Security mechanism concerns of how to enforce them.
- ► The Bell-LaPadula model provides confidentiality but may prevent a personnel with more sensitive knowledge to communicate with a personnel with lower security levels.